Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/07/31 : CIA-RDP91B00390R000200170043-3

P.	OUTING	G AND	RECOR	D SHEET							
SUBJECT: (Optional) CIA and NSA Testimony on Industrial Security to the Oversight and Evaluation Subcommittee of HPSCI											
FROM:			EXTENSION	NO. 100 2570 00							
ICS/PB0				ICS 3578-88							
				ATE 20 June 1988							
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom							
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	INITIALS	to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)							
Director of Security/CIA											
2.											
3.											
4.											
5.											
6.											
7.											
8.											
9.											
10.		·									
11.											
12.		·									
13.	-										
14.			·								
15.											

ORM 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

★ U.S. Government Printing Office: 1985—494-834/49156

STAT STAT

STAT

STAT

SECRET

SUBJECT: CIA and NSA Testimony on Industrial Security to the Oversight and Evaluation Subcommittee of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence
contractor personnel for clearances. Mr. Mahoney said that the relationship with the contracting firm is not affected, but the individuals not cleared are simply not allowed to work on classified projects. Mr. Beilenson asked if the clearance disapproval is passed on to other agencies and Chief of the Office of Security's clearance group answered that the disapproval is not bassed on, but any other agency attempting to clear that individual through the National Security Check would reveal that the individual had been disapproved by the CIA and the reason for the disapproval.  5. Mr. Beilenson then turned to the growth of clearances and asked how many had been eliminated replied that they had been working the problem for twenty months and had reduced the number of unnecessary clearances by about 5,000. Mr. Beilenson said that was a pretty heavy reduction and asked if, in general, the testifiers felt better about security now than they did two or three years ago. Mr. Mahoney stated unequivocally yes. Turf battles between Office of Security and Office of Medical Services have been
eliminated and a pyschologist is present during polygraphs. Mr. Mahoney felt that applicant and staff screening had provided the biggest contribution to enhancing Agency security.
6. At this point, Mr. Hyde asked how stringent the new security procedures were. Mr. Mahoney said that new employees are re-polyed and reinvestigated after three years and staff personnel every five years. Mr. Hyde then asked how much was lost when Howard passed information to the

	·	
SUBJECT:	CIA and NSA Testimony on Industrial Security to the Oversight and Evaluation Subcommittee of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence	

